

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,204	WINTER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Sathyanarayanan Pannala	2164	

All Participants:

(1) Sathyanarayanan Pannala.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(2) Joel M. Fogelson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2007

Time: 9:30 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called Applicant to Expedite the prosecution to obtain permission to do Examiner's Amendment for claim 1 to amend as "Computer-implemented method" instead of "Method". Applicant agreed and approved it

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SAE Signature)
SATHYANARAYAN PANNALA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)